

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,751	03/10/2004	Giao Minh Pham	005510.P081	6419
7:	590 10/13/2005		EXAM	INER
James Y. Go			TRA, ANH QUAN	
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2816	
Los Angeles, (	CA 90025		D. III . (. W III . 10/10/00)	_

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 11			
	10/798,751	PHAM, GIAO MINH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quan Tra	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMON	ITHO FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS because the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
	The state of the s					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	(aminer, Note the attached Oi	flice Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) L Notice of Inform 6) Cther:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed 09/01/05. The rejection in previous office action is maintained.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roy et al. (USP 6388495).

Roy et al. discloses in figure 6 a circuit, comprising: a first current limiting circuit (120) coupled between a selector terminal (105) and a first voltage bus (Vdd), the first current limiting circuit adapted to limit a current out of the selector terminal in response to a voltage on the selector terminal; and a second current limiting circuit (155) coupled between the selector terminal and a second voltage bus (115), the second current limiting circuit adapted to limit a current into the selector terminal in response to the voltage on the selector terminal.

3. Claims 1-10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lam et al. (USP 5856760).

As to claim 1, Lam et al. discloses in figure 5 a circuit, comprising: a first current limiting circuit (50) coupled between a selector terminal (24) and a first voltage bus (+Vcc), the first current limiting circuit adapted to limit a current out of the selector terminal in response to a voltage on the selector terminal; and a second current limiting circuit (52) coupled between the

Art Unit: 2816

selector terminal and a second voltage bus (-Vee), the second current limiting circuit adapted to limit a current limit into the selector terminal in response to the voltage on the selector terminal.

As to claim 2, figure 5 shows a plurality of voltage comparators (Q4, Cs2 and Q3, CS1) coupled to the selector terminal.

As to claim 3, figure 5 shows a decoder circuit (Q5, Q6) coupled to the plurality of voltage comparators.

As to claim 4, figure 5 shows that the first current limiting circuit includes a first switch (Q1) and a first variable current source (58) coupled between the first voltage bus the selector terminal.

As to claim 5, figure 5 shows that the first switch is adapted to conduct when the voltage on the selector terminal is below a first threshold voltage (the first threshold is equal to voltage at the base of transistor Q1 minus the threshold of transistor Q1), the first switch is adapted not to conduct when the voltage on the selector terminal is above a second threshold voltage (the second threshold voltage is the voltage at the base of transistor Q1).

As to claim 6, figure 5 shows that the second current limiting circuit includes a second switch (Q2) and a second variable current source (64) coupled between the selector terminal and the second voltage bus.

As to claim 7, figure 5 shows that the second switch is adapted to conduct when the voltage on the selector terminal is above a third threshold voltage (the third threshold voltage is equal to the voltage at the base of transistor Q2 add the threshold voltage of transistor Q2), the second switch is adapted not to conduct when the voltage on the selector terminal is below a

Art Unit: 2816

fourth threshold voltage (the second threshold voltage is equal to the voltage at the base of transistor Q2).

As to claim 8, figure 5 shows that the first current limiting circuit is adapted to limit the current out of the selector terminal to a first current limit when the voltage on the selector terminal is below a fifth threshold voltage (fifth threshold voltage is a voltage that low enough to turn on transistor Q3), the first current limiting circuit is adapted to limit the current out of the selector terminal to a second current limit when the voltage on the selector terminal is above a sixth threshold voltage (sixth threshold voltage is a voltage that is high enough to turn off transistor Q3).

As to claim 9, figure 5 shows that the second current limiting circuit is adapted to limit the current into the selector terminal to a third current limit when the voltage on the selector terminal is above a seventh threshold voltage (the seventh threshold voltage is a voltage that is high enough to turn on transistor Q4), wherein the second current limiting circuit is adapted to limit the current into the selector terminal to a fourth current limit when the voltage on the selector terminal is below an eighth threshold voltage (eighth threshold voltage is a voltage that is low enough to turn off transistor Q4).

As to claim 10, it is inherent that the first and second threshold voltages is less than the third and fourth voltages.

As to claim 13, figure 5 shows that the first current limit is less than the second current limit. When transistor Q3 is on, transistor Q8 is off. Transistor Q1 generates the first current limit which is determined by the voltage at the base of transistor Q1. When transistor Q3 is off, transistor Q8 is on, transistor Q1 generates the second current limit. The voltage at the base of

Art Unit: 2816

transistor Q1 is higher when transistor Q8 is on. Thus, the second current limit is greater than the first current limit.

As to claim 14, figure 5 shows that the third current is less than the fourth current (similar season explained in the rejection of claim 13).

As to claim 15, figure 5 shows that the circuit further included in an integrated circuit.

As to claim 16, figure 5 shows that the integrated circuit device is a controller in a switching power supply (Vout).

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11 and 12 would be allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest that the fifth and sixth threshold voltages are lower than the first and second threshold voltages, or the seventh and eighth threshold voltages are higher than the third and fourth threshold voltages.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Roy's first current limiting circuit (120)" and second current limiting circuit (155)" are in fact voltage clamps, not current limiting circuits as expressly recited in the Applicant's claims. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is well known in the art that voltage is proportional to current (V = I.R). One skill in the art would have realized that when voltage at pad 105 increases, the pull-up current at node 105 is increased. Transistor 155 is ON to pull down or clamp the voltage at pad 105, thereby <u>limiting</u> the pull-up current. Similarly,

Art Unit: 2816

when voltage at 105 decreases, transistor 120 is ON to pull up the voltage at pad 105, thereby **limiting** the pull-down current.

A similar response for rejection using Lam reference.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-1755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QUAN TRA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2816 Page 7

October 12, 2005